## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re:	Mark and Christina Friederichs		Chapter 7	
	Debtor(s).		BK 04-33724	
	NOTICE OF HEARING ON MOTIO	ON TO DISMIS	SS CHAPTER 7 CASE	
TO:	The Debtor, all creditors and other parties in	interest:		
	The United States Trustee has filed a motion	ı to dismiss the a	above-captioned case for	
substa	ntial abuse under 11 U.S.C. §707(b).			
	The Court will hold a hearing on this motion	n at 10:15 a.m. o	n October 20, 2004, in Courtroo	m
No.22	8A, at the United States Bankruptcy Court, U	United States Cou	urthouse, at 316 North Robert	
Street,	in St. Paul, Minnesota.			
	Any response to this motion must be filed ar	nd delivered not	later than October 15, 2004, whi	icł
is three	e days before the time set for the hearing (exclu	uding intermedia	tte Saturdays, Sundays and legal	
holida	ys), or filed and served by mail not later than (	October 8, 2004,	which is seven days before the	
time se	et for the hearing (excluding intermediate Satu	ırdays, Sundays a	and legal holidays). Local	
Bankr	uptcy Rule 9006-1.			
Dated	:	CLERK OF	BANKRUPTCY COURT	
	Ву:	 Deputy Clerl	 k	

### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re:

Mark and Christina Friederichs

Chapter 7

Debtor(s).

BK 04-33724

### NOTICE OF HEARING AND MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 707(b)

TO: The debtor(s) and other entities specified in Local Rule 9013-3.

- 1. The United States Trustee, by his undersigned attorney, moves the Court for the relief requested below and gives notice of hearing.
- 2. The Court will hold a hearing on this motion at 10:15 a.m. on October 20, 2004, in Courtroom No.228A, at the United States Bankruptcy Court, United States Courthouse, at 316 North Robert Street, in St. Paul, Minnesota.

Any response to this motion must be filed and delivered not later than October 15, 2004, which is three days before the time set for the hearing (excluding intermediate Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays), or filed and served by mail not later than October 8, 2004, which is seven days before the time set for the hearing (excluding intermediate Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays). Local Bankruptcy Rule 9006-1.

- 3. UNLESS A RESPONSE OPPOSING THE MOTION IS TIMELY FILED, THE COURT MAY GRANT THE MOTION WITHOUT A HEARING.
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over this motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 157 and 1334, FED.R.BANKR.P. 5005 and Local Rule 1070-1. The United States Trustee has standing to file this

motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 586(a) and 11 U.S.C. Section 307. This proceeding is a core proceeding. The petition commencing this Chapter 7 case was filed on June 24, 2004. The case is now pending in this Court.

- 5. This motion arises under 11 U. S. C. Section 707(b) and FED.R.BANKR.P. 1017, 2002 and 4004. This motion is filed under FED.R.BANKR.P. 9014 and Local Rules 9013-1 to 9013-5. Movant requests that this case be dismissed.
- 6. From the lists, schedules and statements filed by the debtors, it appears that they have the ability to pay a substantial portion of their dischargeable debt without hardship.
  - 7. The debtors have listed the following debts:
  - (a) On Schedule D, Creditors Holding Secured Claims, the debtors have listed five claims totaling \$ 334,340.00 secured by a first and second mortgage on non-homestead property a mortgage on debtors homestead and a 1998 Lincoln Navigator and a 1999 Chevrolet 3C. Debtors plan to retain the homestead and non-homestead property along with both vehicles.
  - (b) On Schedule E, Creditors Holding Unsecured Priority Claims, the debtors have listed no claims.
  - (c) On Schedule F, Creditors Holding Unsecured Nonpriority Claims, the debtors have listed fourteen claims totaling \$89,690.00.
- 8. The debts listed in the debtors' Schedule of Liabilities appear to be primarily consumer debt. *See* Debtor's Schedule F. The debtors checked on the Petition that the nature of the debts are consumer/non-business.

9. On Schedule I, the debtors have listed a monthly net income of \$ 6,050.00. The debtors are married and list four dependents. On August 9, 2004, the United States Trustee wrote to the debtors for additional financial information. *See* Att. Ex. 1. The debtors timely responded on September 2, 2004. *See* Att. Ex. 2 (without attachments).

Based on the pay stub of 8/13/04 for the 17th bi-weekly pay period, Mr. Friederich, has the following net income (Att. Ex. 3):

YTD Net Income of \$19,947.02 divided by pay period 17 = \$1,173.36 average per pay period x 26 annual periods = \$30,507.36 divided by 12 months = \$2,542.27 average net pay per month.

Based on Copies of four of Ms. Freiderichs pay check stubs provided her average net is \$5,937.31 divided by  $4 = $1,484.33 \times 26$  pay periods = \$38,592.58 divided by 12 = \$3,216.04 (Att. Ex. 4).

### Total Combined Monthly Net Income of \$5,758.31 + child support \$800 = \$6,558.31.

10. On Schedule J, the debtors have listed monthly expenses of \$ 7,319.00. The debtors expenses appear to be excessive and should be reduced by the following.

The debtors maintain a secondary residence at a cost of \$1,175.00. The debtors state that the property is for the following purpose: "2<sup>nd</sup> house in Houston MN is a rental property. Unable to sell it for what we owe on it." Att. Ex. 5. The property does not appear to be necessary for the support of the debtors.

Telephone in the amount of \$200.00 should be reduced by \$100.00, which would provide local service plus long distance and/or a cell phone.

Auto Installments in the amount of \$1,000.00 should be reduced by \$200.00. *In re Mathes*, 1996 WL 1055813, \*3 (Bankr. D. Minn. Aug. 21, 1996) (noting \$ 300 payment was reasonable); *see also In re Wilkens*, 1997 WL 1047545, \*3 (Bankr. D. Minn. March 26, 1997) (holding that \$ 275.00 - 300.00 for installment payments, \$ 75.00 - \$ 100.00 for costs of operation of vehicle, and insurance cost was sufficient). [The United States Trustee has inflated the number by \$ 100.]

Payment on Line of credit in the amount of \$150.00 should be eliminated, as it appears to be a general unsecured debt.

Student Loans in the amount of \$568.00 should be eliminated this claim would be provided for in a hypothetical chapter 13 plan.

Child Care in the amount of \$400.00 should be eliminated, it appears that the child care is reimbursed by the employer. *See* Att. Ex. 4. Total Adjusted Expenses \$4,926.00

- 11. Average net monthly income of \$ 6,558.31 less monthly expenses of \$ 4,926.00 provides the debtors with monthly disposable income of \$ 1,632.31.
- 12. Monthly disposable income of \$ 1,632.31 would enable the debtors to pay approximately \$ 58,763.16 or 65% of the unsecured creditors in a hypothetical thirty six month Chapter 13 plan.
- 13. The debtors are currently employed, and there does not appear to be any likelihood that their employment will be terminated at any time in the future.
- 14. The debtor have the ability to repay a substantial portion of their general unsecured debt and there appears to be no reason for their unwillingness to do so.
  - 15. As an alternative to dismissal, the United States Trustee does not oppose voluntary

conversion of this case to Chapter 13.

WHEREFORE, the United States Trustee respectfully requests that this chapter 7 case be dismissed.

Dated: September 17, 2004 Respectfully submitted,

HABBO G. FOKKENA United States Trustee Region 12

By: /s/ Sarah J. Wencil
Sarah J. Wencil
Trial Attorney
United States Trustee's Office
1015 United States Courthouse
300 South Fourth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55415
IA ATTY No. 14014
(612) 664-5500



### U. S. Department of Justice

Office of the United States Trustee

Districts of Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota and Iowa

U.S. Courthouse, Suite 1015

612 / 664-5500

300 South Fourth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55415

FAX 612 / 664-5516

August 9, 2004

Richard Darby P.O. Box 617 Winona, MN 55987

Re:

Mark & Christina Friederichs

Bky 04-33724

Dear Mr. Darby,

As you are aware, the Office of the United States Trustee must investigate every debtor pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §707(b). Please provide the following documentation/information on or before August 27, 2004;

- 1. Copies of the debtors' last four pay stubs. If the pay stubs show a deduction for 401(k) or similar retirement plan, please advise if the deduction is voluntary or mandatory. If the pay stubs show a deduction for 401(k) loan repayment, please advise as to the balance of the loan and the estimated payoff date.
- 2. Copies of 2001, 2002, and 2003 state and federal tax returns, including W-2s, 1099s, and all other attachments and schedules.
- 3. Copies of bank statements and check registers for the last six months for all accounts owned by the debtors.
- 4. Copies of payment coupons, canceled checks, etc., evidencing monthly payments for all debts listed on Schedule D.
- 5. Please advise what the secondary residence in Houston, MN is used for.

Please call if you have a question or concern about this letter. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

HABBO G. FOKKENA

United States Trustee

Tom Kleiner

Bankruptcy Analyst

cc: Mark & Christina Friederichs 710 Johnson Street Rushford, MN 55971

Charles Ries, Chapter 7 Trustee

### RECEIVED

### RICHARD H. DARBY, LTD

Attorney At Law

59 West Third ● P.O. Box 617 ● Winona Minnesota 55987 7004 SEP -2 △ Selephone No. (507) 452-3341 • Fax No. (507) 452-5071

Shirley A. Gierok Paralegal

GFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUST

319 Main Street, Suite 501 • La Crosse, Wisconsin 54601 Telephone No. (608) 796-0031 • (800) 659-1468

September 1, 2004

U. S. Dept. of Justice Office of the U. S. Trustee U.S. Courthouse, Suite 1015 300 South Fourth St. Minneapolis, MN. 55415

ATTN: Mr. Tom Kleiner Bankruptcy Analyst

> RE: MARK D. FRIEDERICHS & CHRISTINA E. FRIEDERICHS CHAPTER 7 BKCY CASE #04-33724

#### Gentlemen:

Enclosed herewith please find the following documentation pursuant to your request:

2001, 2002 AND 2003 FEDERAL AND STATE INCOME TAX RETURNS PAYROLL PAY STUBS VARIOUS CREDIT CARD STATEMENTS BANK STATEMENTS

Enclosed please find a self-addressed stamped envelope for your use in returning the above documents.

THANK YOU!

RHD:sq Encs:

cc: Mr.& Mrs. Mark D. Friederichs

CHECK NO. A6245
CHECK DATE: 8/13/04
PERIOD ENDING: 8/15/04
PAY FREQUENCY: BI-WEEKLY

FRIEDERICHS, MARK 710 JOHNSON COURT RUSHFORD, MN 55971

BASE RATE: 1,538.46

STATUS EXEMPT
FED: MARRIED 1
ST1: M
ST2:

TAX ADJUSTMENTS FED: DVUC: LOCAL:

ST:

STATE AND LOCAL CODES
PRI: MN LOC1: LOC3:
SEC: LOC2:

### IMPORTANT MESSAGE

HOURS AND EARNINGS			TAXES AND DEDUCTIONS			SPECIAL INFORMATION			
DESCRIPTION HOU		RENT EARNINGS_	HOURS/UN	Y-T-D ITSEARNINGS	DESCRIPTION	CURRENT AMOUNT			
REGULAR HOLIDAY PERSONAL DAILY SICK VACATION SHT TERM SICK TIME W/O PAY	80.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	1,538.46 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	00 40.00 00 16.00 00 16.00 00 34.00 00 56.00	769.23 307.70 307.68 653.83 1,076.92	FED INC TAX	SO SEC TAX 94.60 1,560.63  MEDICARE TAX 22.13 384.99 FED INC TAX 137.19 2,105.30 HOL BALANCE PRI-STATE TAX 63.00 977.00 HOL TAKEN VAC BALANCE VAC TAKEN W2GRP		VAC BALANCE VAC TAKEN	3.08 23.75 16.00 23.50 34.00 1.03
					TOTAL TAXES	316.92	5,007.92		
					AFTER-TAX DEDUCTIONS				
					LOAN	1	1.71 199.07		
TOTAL H/E	80.00	1,538.4	•	25,384.59					
PREDV	PRE-TAX ITEMS EDV -13.63 -230.58								
								CURRENT NET PAY DIST	RIBUTION
								C 8100568644	1,196.20
OTAL PRE-TAX		-13.6	3	-230.58					
TOTAL			TOTAL PER DED 11.71 199.07						
	EA	RNINGS			ESS TAXES	LESS DEDS	EQ NET PAY		
CURRENT		1,538.46	-13.63	1,524.83	316.92	11.71	1,196.20	TOTAL OUDDENT NET DAY	4.400.00
Y-T-D		25,384.59	-230.58	25,154.01	5,007.92	199.07	19,947.02	TOTAL CURRENT NET PAY	1,196.20

Enter: N ( 0-Exit

					PAGE 02
COMMUNITY MEMORIAL HOSPITA	T,		Em	ployee Pay Ru	ns Display
Employee: CHRISTINA	E FRIEDERICHS	PayPeriod	EndDt:	081504	0-Exit
			Run:	1	
- Check Information	41.47, 167	MATATAK IT IF		ATAIT (W.V	
Number: DDeposit	Gro	ss Pay:	1970.40		
Date: 08/20/04	Dedu	ctions:	477.01		
TotHrs: 80.00	N	et Pay:	1493.39		
PayCd St GL# JbCd	Hours OT SH WE	но св	Rate	ExtAmt	"U" <u>;</u>
1 MN 40000063	8.00		24.6300	197.04	
1 MN 40000094	32.00		24.6300	788.16	
1 MN 10238000	40.00		24.6300	985.20	
	And the second s	adali is triangular property and the property of the property			"D"r
CAFE-D 180.00 FICA CAFE-T 14.84 LOAN CAFE-V 60.00 ST-M	18(1)11.				M 1. 1. 5
CAFE-D 180.00 FICA	0 106.36 <sub>W</sub>	30 M			
CAFE-T 14.84 LOAN	34.76	40 bare			
CAFE-V 60.00 " ST-M	N 55.90				
FEDTAX 121.16 TSAR	ET 59.11				
FICA-M 24.88 DAYR					

"P"revRun

"N"extRun



Account Number New Barance **Payment** Coupon 103,656,41

Closing Date ayment Due Date

05-27-2004

Minimum Amount Due 503.36

00527045054

MARK FRIEDERICHS CHRISTINA FRIEDERICHS PO BOX 301 W SPRUCE HOUSTON MN 55943-0267

Mail Payment To:

07-18-2004

POST OFFICE BOX 4153-K CAROL STREAM IL 60197-4153 

0

1000503365401020018611530103656414

FOR ASSISTANCE:

130 ELTON HILLS DRIVE NW ELTON HILLS PLAZA PLAZA W ROCHESTER MN 55901 507-282-8860

Account Number

Closing Date

05-27-200

Payment Due Date

Standard Payment

Amount Past Due

Minimum Amount Due

07-18-2004

1,006.72

.00

503.36

Account Detail Since Last Statement

CCOUNT SUMMARY

Transaction Description 04-27-2004 Starting Balance

Amount

Interest, Insurance & Other Charges

Amount Applied To Balance

\*New Balance 103,726.11

05-03-2004

503.36

433.66

69.70

103,656,41

**Payment** 

IF YOU'R LOAN IS MADE PURSUANT TO THE ALTERNATIVE MORTGAGE TRANSACTION PARITY ACT. YOU WILL BE ASSESSED THE LATE CHARGE STATED IN YOUR LOAN AGREEMENT IF YOUR PAYMENT IS MADE 15 DAYS OR MORE AFTER THE PAYMENT DUE DATE SHOWN ABOVE.

To evaluate and maintain the quality of our service to you, you permit us to listen to and/or record telephone calls between you and our representative.

For information on obtaining a formal loan pay-off quote, please call us at 800-957-0003 or visit our online e-care center at www.beneficial.com.

Your New Balance does not include deferred interest owed of \$ 732.54. Deferred interest may be paid at any time by calling 1-800-365-2651. Any amount not paid over the term of your loan will be due with your final loan payment.

Interest is assessed on a daily basis. Payments made after your due date, but within the grace period, result in additional

2 NO HOUSE IN HOUSTON MN IS A RENTAL PROPERTY. UNABLE TO SELL IT FOR WHAT WE OWE ON IT.

Page 1 of 2

<sup>\*</sup>Your payoff amount may differ from your balance as shown on this statement. If you would like to determine your payoff balance or if you have questions on this billing, please call Customer Service at 507-282-8860. Address written inquiries and all nonpayment correspondence to Beneficial Customer Service, 130 ELTON HILLS DRIVE NW, ELTON HILLS PLAZA PLAZA W, ROCHESTER MN. 55901. Mail payment to BENEFICIAL, POST OFFICE BOX 4153-K, CAROL STREAM IL 60197

VERIFICATION

I, Sarah J. Wencil, trial attorney for the United States Trustee, the movant named in the

foregoing motion, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct according to

the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Executed on: September 17, 2004 Signed: /s/ Sarah J. Wencil

Sarah J. Wencil Trial Attorney

### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re:

Mark and Christina Freiederichs

Chapter 7

Debtor(s).

BK 04-33724

### MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS

The United States Trustee submits this memorandum in support of his motion to dismiss this case under 11 U.S.C. § 707(b). *See* Local Rule 9013-2(a).

### **Analysis**

A Motion to Dismiss for Substantial Abuse is governed by Section 707(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, which provides:

After notice and a hearing, the court, on its own motion or on a motion by the United States trustee but not at the request or suggestion of any party in interest, may dismiss a case filed by an individual debtor under this chapter whose debts are primarily consumer debts if it finds that the granting of relief would be a substantial abuse of the provisions of this chapter. There shall be a presumption in favor of granting the relief requested by the debtor. In making a determination whether to dismiss a case under this section, the court may not take into consideration whether a debtor has made, or continues to make charitable contributions (that meet the definition of 'charitable contribution' under section 548(d)(3)) to any qualified religious or charitable entity or organization (as that term is defined in section 548(d)(4).

11 U.S.C. § 707(b) (1994) (as amended by Religious Liberty and Charitable Donation Protection Act of 1998). The United States Trustee bears the burden of showing substantial abuse. *In re Dubberke*, 119 B.R. 677, 679 (Bankr. S.D. Iowa 1990).

### (1) The Debtor's Debts Are Primarily Consumer Debts.

Section 101(8) of the Bankruptcy Code defines "consumer debts" as "debt incurred by an individual primarily for a personal, family, or household purpose." 11 U.S.C. § 101(8) (1994). "Debt" is defined as a "liability on a claim." 11 U.S.C. § 101(12) (1994). "Claim" is defined as a "right to payment, whether or not such right is reduced to judgment, liquidated, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, legal, equitable, secured, or unsecured." 11 U.S.C. § 101(5)(A) (1994).

The purpose of the debt generally determines whether a debt is a consumer debt. *Zolg v*. *Kelly (In re Kelly)*, 841 F.2d 908, 913 (9th Cir. 1988); *In re Palmer*, 117 B.R. 443, 446 (Bankr.

N.D. Iowa 1990). If the credit transaction does not involve a business transaction or a profit motive, it is usually regarded as a consumer debt. *Palmer*, 117 B.R. at 446 (citing *In re Booth*, 858 F.2d 1051, 1054-55 (5th Cir. 1988)); *In re Berndt*, 127 B.R. 222, 223 (Bankr. D.N.D. 1991) (citing *Kelly* and *Booth*, but distinguishing *Booth* by concluding that private investment debts, not used to further an ongoing business, were consumer debts).

In the present case, it appears that the debts listed on Schedule F are primarily consumer debts.

The debtors checked on the Petition that the nature of the debts are consumer/non-business.

### (2) The Granting of Relief under Chapter 7 Constitutes Substantial Abuse of Chapter Seven of the Bankruptcy Code.

To satisfy the "substantial abuse" standard under Section 707(b), the Eighth Circuit has ruled that the primary consideration is whether the debtor has the ability to fund a 13 plan. *In re Walton*, 866 F.2d 981, 984 (8th Cir. 1989) (following *In re Kelly*, 841 F.2d 908, 914-15 (9th Cir. 1988);

United States Trustee v. Harris, 960 F.2d 74, 76 (8th Cir. 1992); Fonder v. United States, 974 F.2d 996, 999 (8th Cir. 1992); Huckfeldt v. Huckfeldt (In re Huckfeldt), 39 F.3d 829, 831 (8th Cir. 1994) (comparing § 707(b) to § 707(a)).

While bad faith on the part of the debtor may constitute substantial abuse under Section 707(b), bad faith is not required to be shown to satisfy the "substantial abuse" standard when the debtor is otherwise able to repay his or her debts out of future income:

This is not to say that inability to pay will shield a debtor from section 707(b) dismissal where bad faith is otherwise shown. But a finding that a debtor is able to pay his debts, standing alone, supports a conclusion of substantial abuse.

Walton, 866 F.2d at 985 (quoting *In re Kelly*, 841 F.2d at 914-15); *Harris*, 960 F.2d at 76 (stating that "egregious behavior" by the debtor is not a necessary element for a Chapter 7 case to be dismissed under Section 707(b)). While the unique hardships and the good faith of the debtor are relevant factors, those factors are not as important as the ability of the debtor to fund a Chapter 13 plan.

Walton, 866 F.2d at 983; *see also Harris*, 960 F.2d at 77 (rejecting the "totality of the circumstances" test espoused by the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals in *Green v. Staples (In re Green)*, 934 F.2d 568, 572 (4th Cir. 1991), in favor of examining whether a debtor may fund a Chapter 13 plan out of future income).

Whether the debtor is eligible to file a petition under Chapter 13 after a Section 707(b) dismissal is also not a relevant factor, and likewise, the debtor cannot be forced to file a Chapter 13 petition after a 707(b) dismissal order is entered if the debtor is qualified for Chapter 13 relief. *Fonder*, 974 F.2d at 999. "The essential inquiry remains whether the debtor's ability to repay creditors with

future income is sufficient to make the Chapter 7 liquidating bankruptcy a substantial abuse of the Code." *Id*.

In addition, the Eighth Circuit holds that a bankruptcy court may reject the credibility of amended schedules when the amendments are offered after a Section 707(b) motion is filed and the amended schedules seek to decrease income and/or increase expenses because the debtor swore as to the accuracy of the initial schedules. *Fonder*, 974 F.2d at 1000.

In the District of Minnesota, there is no set percentage of repayment that must be met for substantial abuse to be present. The District Court of Minnesota opines that the determination of what is substantial should be made on a case-by-case basis:

In this Circuit, there is no clear cut formula or quantitative, threshold percentage of debt that must be repaid under a Chapter 13 plan in order to constitute grounds for dismissal for "substantial abuse." See Walton; Fonder; see also In re Schmidt, 200 B.R. 36, 38 (Bankr. D. Neb. 1996).... Rather, (and until such a threshold is articulated), Bankruptcy Courts are to use their best judgment to determine what repayment percentage is appropriate on a case-by-case basis. Considering the record before it, the Bankruptcy Court concluded, without comment, that a 35% repayment plan over a three year term was sufficient to constitute "substantial abuse." After conducting a de novo review of the record, this Court agrees. An ability to contribute more than \$17,000 towards \$ 44,000 of unsecured debt is "substantial."

*Mathes v. Stuart (In re Mathes)*, Civil File No. 3-96-906, slip op. at 6-7 (D. Minn. July 2, 1997) *See also In re Shirley Wilkins*, 1997 WL 1047545 (Bankr. D. Minn. March 26, 1997) (Kishel, J.) (holding that the ability to pay 28% in three years or 49% in five years of unsecured debts was a substantial abuse under § 707(b)).

In the present case, the debtor have the ability to pay approximately 65% of the general

unsecured creditors in a hypothetical thirty six month Chapter 13 plan. The ability to fund a Chapter 13 plan is grounds to dismiss this case for substantial abuse under Section 707(b).

WHEREFORE, the United States Trustee submits this memorandum in support of his motion to dismiss the above-captioned case as a substantial abuse of the Bankruptcy Code.

Dated: September 17, 2004 Respectfully submitted,

HABBO G. FOKKENA United States Trustee Region 12

By: /s/ Sarah J. Wencil
Sarah J. Wencil
Trial Attorney
United States Trustee's Office
1015 United States Courthouse
300 South Fourth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55415
IA ATTY No. 14014
(612) 664-5500

### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re:

Mark and Christina Friederichs

Chapter 7

Debtor(s).

BK 04-33724

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, Terri Frazer, certify under penalty of perjury that I am an employee in the Office of the United States Trustee for the District of Minnesota and am a person of such age and discretion as to be competent to serve papers.

That on September 14, 2004, I served a copy of the Proposed Notice of Hearing, Motion to Dismiss Under 11 U.S.C. §707(b), Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion to Dismiss; and proposed Order in the above-referenced case by placing said copy in a postpaid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter named, at the place and address stated below, which is the last known address, and by depositing said envelope and contents in the United States Mail at Minneapolis, Minnesota.

#### Addressee(s):

Mark and Christina Friederichs 710 Johnson Street Rushford, MN 55971

Charles W. Ries 201 N. Broad Street, #200 P.O. Box 7 Mankato, MN 56002

Richard Darby PO Box 617 Winona, MN 55987

Terri Frazer

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In re: Mark and Christina Friederichs		Chapter 7				
Debtor(s).		BK 04-33724				
ORDER						
At St. Paul, Minnesota, this	_ day of	, 2004, the United States				
Trustee's Motion to Dismiss under 11 U.S.C. §	§ 707(b) came	e before the Court for hearing.				
Appearances were noted in the record.						
The Court made its findings of fact and	l conclusions	of law on the record pursuant to Rule 52 of				
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Bank	ruptcy Rule 7	7052.				
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:						
That the Chapter 7 bankruptcy case filed by the above-captioned debtors is dismissed pursuant						
to 11 U.S.C. Section 707(b).						
		Honorable Dennis D. O'Brien ed States Bankruptcy Judge				